

*Mid-Western Educational Researcher*  
**Reviewer Feedback**

**Manuscript Number:**

**Manuscript Title:**



**Reviewer Name:**

**Date Sent:**

**Date Returned:**

**To the reviewer:** Both author(s) and editors will benefit from your thoughtful appraisal of the manuscript. In your review please make sure to:

- Address all of the numbered criteria within the 3 major parts of the review identified below.
- Provide evidence to support your evaluation, referencing line and page numbers.

*Please return your review by the deadline given in the e-mail request.*

---

**PART I: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK, BACKGROUND and RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

1. A clear statement of the problem is presented and relevant concepts defined.
2. A clear relationship is established between what is known (existing literature) and what needs to be known (gap in the literature).
3. The research question(s) are clear and answerable.
4. The questions posed can feasibly be addressed in the context of this manuscript.

**COMMENTS**

**OVERALL QUALITY**

**Please rate the overall quality of Part I based on the degree to which the 4 criteria listed above have been met**

*Unsatisfactory*

*Satisfactory*

*Exemplary*

## **PART II: METHODOLOGY and METHODS**

1. The research design is described in detail, with particular attention to variables/concepts to be addressed.
2. The sample and/or sampling plan are described and are appropriate to address the research questions.
3. Procedures for data collection are described in detail and are appropriate to the questions posed.
4. Data collection tools (e.g., instruments, interview, or observation guides) are described in detail and are appropriate (if applicable).
5. Data analysis plans are appropriate and are described in detail, with particular reference to the research question(s) posed.

### **COMMENTS**

#### **OVERALL QUALITY**

Please rate the overall quality of Part II based on the degree to which the 5 criteria listed above have been

*Unsatisfactory*

*Satisfactory*

*Exemplary*

## **PART III: SIGNIFICANCE and IMPLICATIONS**

1. The study's argued significance and implications are convincing.
2. The study has potential to result in future research.
3. The limitations of the study are acknowledged and discussed in the context of interpreting findings (e.g., generalizability, transferability (if applicable)).

### **COMMENTS**

#### **OVERALL QUALITY**

Please rate the overall quality of Part III based on the degree to which the 3 criteria listed above have been met

*Unsatisfactory*

*Satisfactory*

*Exemplary*

## **PART IV: WRITING CONVENTIONS and STYLE**

1. The paper is written in a scholarly manner that is consistent with the research and literature in the field.
2. The paper is free of grammatical, spelling, and typographical errors.
3. The paper follows a structure and organization consistent with APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition or other relevant style requirements.

### **COMMENTS**

### **OVERALL QUALITY**

Please rate the overall quality of Part IV based on the criteria to which the 3 criteria listed above have been met

*Unsatisfactory*

*Satisfactory*

*Exemplary*

Please indicate your overall recommendation from the choices below.

*Accept*

*Accept with minor revisions*

*Revise & Resubmit*

*Reject*

**Note.** Revised manuscripts will be returned to the original reviewers following revision by the authors.

**Comments to the Editors** (to be seen by the editors only; if this is a literature review or other non-empirical manuscript, please state the additional criteria you used to evaluate the manuscript):